

# BASIC PROJECT FOR THE NEW REGASIFICATION TERMINAL FOR LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS IN THE PORT OF TARANTO, ITALY

## ANNEX 5.1: NAUTICAL ACCESSIBILITY SURVEY. ROM 3.1-99

### TABLE OF CONTENTS OF TECHNICAL REPORT

1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
2. USEFUL LIFE AND ACCEPTABLE MAXIMUM RISKS.....	2
2.1. USEFUL LIFE.....	2
2.2. MAXIMUM ACCEPTABLE RISK.....	2
3. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE VESSEL FLEET .....	3
3.1. ANALYSIS OF THE GENERAL METHANE VESSEL FLEET .....	3
4. NUMBER OF VESSEL OPERATIONS .....	6
5. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SITE .....	7
5.1. SEA CLIMATE.....	7
5.1.1. WIND .....	7
5.1.2. SURGE.....	9
5.1.3. CURRENTS.....	12
5.1.4. FOG.....	12
5.1.5. TIDE .....	13
5.2. ENTRANCE ROUTE, BUOYAGE AND TUGS .....	14
6. LIMIT OPERATION CONDITIONS .....	16
6.1. NAVIGATION ROUTES (ART. 8.4.3.2. ROM3.1-99).....	16
6.2. PORT ENTRANCE CROSSING (ART. 8.5.2.) .....	17
6.3. SWING ROUND MANOEUVRES WITH TUGBOATS ASSISTANCE (ART. NO. 8.8.4.1 B OF ROM 3.1.).....	17
6.4. PERMANENCE LIMIT CONDITIONS .....	17
7. DIMENSIONING OF AREAS FOR NAVIGATION AND MANOEUVRES .....	19
7.1. DIMENSIONING USING DETERMINISTIC DESIGN ROM 3.1-99 .....	19
7.1.1. DEFINITION OF CLIMATIC SCENES .....	19
7.1.2. REFERENCE AND TIDE LEVELS.....	21
7.1.3. ANALYSED FLEET .....	22
7.1.4. ASSIGNMENT OF TUGS.....	22
7.1.4.1. TUGS IN NORMAL CONDITIONS.....	22
7.1.4.2. TUGS IN PERMANENCE LIMIT CONDITIONS .....	23
7.1.4.3. BOLLARD PULL OF TUGS ASSIGNED TO TERMINAL .....	24
7.1.5. ELEVATION DIMENSIONING .....	24
7.1.6. GENERAL CRITERIA .....	24

7.1.7. RESULTS OF ELEVATION DIMENSIONING ACCORDING TO THE DETERMINISTIC METHOD .....	25
7.2. PLAN DIMENSIONING OF THE WATERWAY.....	27
7.2.1. GENERAL CRITERIA .....	27
7.2.2. RESULTS OF PLAN DIMENSIONING ACCORDING TO THE DETERMINISTIC METHOD .....	28
7.2.3. DIMENSIONING OF THE TURNING AND BERTHING AREA.....	29
7.2.3.1. STOP DISTANCE AND TURNING AREA .....	29
7.2.3.2. BERTHING AREA .....	31
7.3. CHOICE OF SCENARIOS TO CARRY OUT STUDIES ON A SIMULATOR .....	31
8. STUDIES ON AN AUTO-PILOT SIMULATOR TO VERIFY THE DETERMINISTIC DESIGN .....	33
8.1. INTRODUCTION AND MODEL DESCRIPTION.....	33
8.2. MANOUVRE VALIDATION STRATEGIES AND CONDITIONS.....	34
8.3. TUGS.....	35
8.4. CASES SIMULATED.....	35
8.5. RESULT ASSESSMENT.....	36
9. PERIOD OF CLOSURE OF THE TERMINAL.....	37
10. CONCLUSIONS ON THE DETERMINISTIC DESIGN AND ITS VERIFICATION USING SIMULATOR WITH AUTOPILOT .....	39

## TABLES

Table 1. Characteristics of the fleet analyzed in this survey.....	5
Table 2. Mean regime of wind speed/direction in Taranto.....	7
Table 3. Wind frequency percentage, according to directions.....	9
Table 4. Heights connected to N, NE, E, SE winds.....	10
Table 5. Heights connected to S, SW, W, NW winds.....	10
Table 6. Wave heights for 1-minute gush speeds.....	11
Table 7. Wave frequency percentages, according to directions.....	11
Table 8. Directional distribution of sea+swell surge in deep waters .....	12
Table 9. Tugs available at the port of Taranto .....	15
Table 10. Climate scenarios .....	20
Table 12. Distribution of total static thrust.....	24
Table 13. Results of water depth dimensioning.....	26
Table 14. Results of plan dimensioning (deterministic method) .....	28
Table 15. Dimensions of the turning areas (ROM 3.1-99) .....	30
Table 16. Climate scenarios chosen for studies on simulator .....	32
Table 17. Simulated cases .....	35

## FIGURES

Figure 1. Compass card .....	8
Figure 2. Location of the site under study.....	10
Figure 3. Access route to the LNG terminal.....	15
Figura 4. Turning areas using tugs (ROM 3.1-99).....	30