

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE FILE

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Instructions for compiling the Environmental Compliance File

The Environmental Compliance File will be used to check compliance in the context of the evaluation of your application.

It should cover all aspects of the project that may have an environmental impact.

Include the requested information/supporting documents that you have at the moment of your application.

Minimum font size: Arial 9 points. Page size: A4.

Project name and acronym:	[UPgrading Parma Rail-Road Terminal] – [UpARRT]
Location:	[ITALY], [EMILIA-ROMAGNA], [FOTEVIVO - PR]
Project type:	Works <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Study with physical intervention <input type="checkbox"/> Study without physical intervention <input type="checkbox"/>

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

(To be filled in and uploaded as part of the application — merged into one file together with the signed and scanned declarations by the competent authorities.)

1. Development consent

1.1 Has a development consent¹ already been given to the project and when (if already issued)?

- NO
 YES 28/10/2018
 N/A

1.1.1 If YES, has an appeal been launched against the development consent?

- NO
 YES

If YES, explain:

¹ 'Development consent' means the decision of the competent authority or authorities which entitles the developer to proceed with the project (Directive 2011/92/EU). In practice, it is referred in several member states as an integrated permit or a construction permit.

- 1.1.2 If NO development consent has been given, please specify the administrative steps already taken and those still needed (e.g. a reasoned conclusion² has been issued, a request for a development consent has been submitted on dd/mm/yyyy):

By which date is the final decision expected?

 (dd/mm/yyyy)

2. Compliance with the EIA Directive (2011/92/EU)³

- 2.1 Is the project listed in Annex I or II of the Directive:

- Annex I of the Directive (go to question 3.2)
- Annex II of the Directive (go to question 3.3)
- Neither of the two annexes (please explain below)

- 2.2 If listed in Annex I, attach the following documents:

- a) the non-technical summary of the EIA report⁴
- b) information on consultations with environmental, local or regional authorities, the public concerned and, if applicable, consultations with other Member States, carried out in accordance with Articles 6 and 7 of the EIA Directive
- c) the decision of the competent authority issued in accordance with Article 8a of the EIA Directive, including information on how it was made available to the public and authorities referred to in point b) in accordance with Article 9 of the EIA Directive.

- 2.3 If listed in Annex II, has an environmental impact assessment been carried out for this project?

- YES (attach the documents listed under point 3.2)

² The 'reasoned conclusion' is the term used in the Directive 2011/92/EU. It finalises the examination of the environmental impact of the project (i.e. the environmental impact assessment procedure) by the competent authority. Depending on the permitting system in member state (a multi stage or an integrated procedure), it can take different forms.

³ Directive [2011/92/EU](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment (OJ L 26, 28.1.2012).

⁴ Prepared pursuant to Article 5 and Annex IV to the Directive 2011/92/EU.

NO (please provide:

a) a copy of the determination required in Article 4(5) of the EIA Directive ('screening decision'), including information on the main reasons for not requiring such assessment with reference to the relevant criteria listed in Annex III, and, where relevant, to any features of the project and/or measures envisaged to avoid or prevent what might otherwise have been significant adverse effects on the environment

or

b) if no determination has been undertaken, please provide the information on the thresholds or criteria used according to the national law and Article 4(2)(b) of the Directive explaining that the project does not require an EIA screening.)

Despite the typologies of interventions could be included in the Annex II of the Directive 2011/92/EU, an Environmental Impact Assessment was not deemed necessary for these interventions in relation to the characteristic that are most likely not exceeding the thresholds set by the Member State with D.Lgs 152/2006 for non-sensible areas.

3. Compliance with the SEA Directive (2001/42/EC)⁵

3.1 Is the project implemented as a result of a plan or programme (e.g. plan or programme prepared for the transport sector, country planning or land use)?

NO

YES

3.2 If the reply is YES, please specify if the plan/programme was made subject to a strategic environmental assessment in accordance with the SEA Directive?

NO

YES

If NO, please provide a short explanation:

If YES, please provide the non-technical summary⁶ of the environmental report and the information required by Article 9.1(b) of the Directive – i.e. a decision on the adoption of the plan/programme (either internet link or electronic copy).

4. Compliance with the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)⁷

4.1 Is the project likely to have significant effects on the sites included or intended to be included in the Natura 2000 network?

NO (declaration has to be signed by the competent authority)

YES (please provide:

⁵ Directive [2001/42/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2001 on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment (OJ L 197 of 21.7.2001).

⁶ Prepared pursuant to Article 5 and Annex I to Directive 2001/42/EC.

⁷ Council Directive [92/43/EEC](#) of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ L206, 22.7.1992, p.7).

- 1) the decision of the competent authority approving the project
- 2) the results of the appropriate assessment carried out in accordance with Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive (this information is not needed, if it is already included in the decision mentioned under point 1)
- 3) a map (at a scale of 1:100,000 or the nearest possible scale) indicating the location of the project and the Natura 2000 sites concerned
and
- 4) only in cases where the competent authority has determined that the project has significant negative effects on one or more sites included or intended to be included on the Natura 2000 network, the following information should be provided:
 - (a) a copy of the notification to the European Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive⁸ and, if relevant,
 - (b) an opinion of the European Commission under Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive in case of projects having significant impacts on the priority habitats and/or species and justified by imperative reasons of overriding public interest other than human health and public safety or beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment.

5. Compliance with the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)⁹

5.1 Does the project involve a new modification to the physical characteristics of a surface water body or alterations to the level of bodies of groundwater which may deteriorate the status of a water body or may cause failure to achieve good water status/potential?

NO

YES

5.1.1 If YES, please provide the assessment of the impacts on the water body and a detailed explanation of how the conditions¹⁰ under Article 4(7) of the Water Framework Directive were/are fulfilled.

5.1.2 If NO, please provide evidence of the absence of impacts of the project on water (e.g. including the relevant assessments or studies).

5.2 Please attach a confirmation by the competent authority (under Article 3(2) of the Directive).

⁸ [Revised version](#) adopted by the Habitats Committee on 26.4.2012

⁹ Directive [2000/60/EC](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p.1).

¹⁰

- The reasons for the project are of overriding public interest AND/OR a detailed cost-benefit assessment demonstrates that the benefits of the project to human health, to the maintenance of human safety or to sustainable development outweigh the costs from deteriorating the status of water that are accruing to the environment and to society.
- The overriding public interest or the benefits expected from the project cannot, for reasons of technical feasibility or disproportionate cost, be achieved by alternative means that would lead to a better environmental outcome.
- All technically and economically feasible and ecologically relevant mitigation measures are implemented to reduce adverse impacts on water as well as on protected habitats and species directly dependent on water.
- The reasons for those modifications or alterations are specifically set out and explained in the river basin management plan required under Article 13 of the Water Framework Directive.

DECLARATION BY THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY FOR MONITORING NATURA 2000 SITES

(To be filled in and signed by the competent Member State authority and uploaded as part of the application — merged into one file together with the environmental compliance questionnaire and the other declaration(s).)

COMPETENT AUTHORITY	
Competent authority:	Regione Emilia Romagna
Department:	Direzione Generale Cura del Territorio e dell'Ambiente
Contact person:	Paolo Ferrecchi
Legal address:	Viale Aldo Moro, 30 40127 - Bologna (BO) +39 0515273711 dgcta@regione.emilia-romagna.it dgcta@postacert.regione.emilia-romagna.it
COMPLIANCE DECLARATION	
Name of the project:	[UPgrading Parma Rail-Road Terminal] — [UpARRT]
Location:	[ITALY], [EMILIA-ROMAGNA], [FOTEVIVO - PR]
We hereby confirm that:	
1) the project is not likely to have significant effects on a Natura 2000 site and therefore an appropriate assessment as described by Article 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC was not considered necessary	Yes
2) a map at scale of 1:100.000 (or the nearest possible scale) is attached, indicating the location of the project as well as the Natura 2000 sites concerned, if any	Yes

Additional comments


Please state the reasons for your decision.

Please include the name of the site, reference number, the distance of the project to the nearest Natura 2000 site(s), and justification that the project (either individually or in combination with other projects) is not likely to have significant negative effects on Natura 2000 site(s) (or site(s) intended to be included in the Natura 2000 network). In case of designated sites, refer to the analysis (screening) carried out in view of the site-specific conservation objectives.

Attach, if relevant, the administrative decision.

Foreseen interventions are planned within the existing and currently operative RRT of Parma which is insisting in already urbanized area.

Moreover, as evidently shown in the map, the area of intervention is indicatively between 1 and 6 km from the nearest Natura 2000 site (IT4020017 – Aree delle risorgive di Viarolo, Bacini di Torrile, Fascia golenale del Po, IT4020022 – Basso Taro, IT4020021 – Medio Taro)



Signature of the authorised person

Name and function:

[Paolo Ferrecchi], [General Director]

Date of signature:



10.01.2022

Signature and stamp:



DECLARATION BY THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY UNDER THE WATER FRAMEWORK DIRECTIVE 2000/60/EC

(To be filled in and signed by the competent Member State authority under Article 3(2) of the Water Framework Directive and uploaded as part of the application— merged into one file together with the environmental compliance questionnaire and the other declaration(s).)

COMPETENT AUTHORITY	
Competent authority:	Regione Emilia Romagna
Department:	Direzione Generale Cura del Territorio e dell'Ambiente
Contact person:	Paolo Ferrecchi
Legal address:	Viale Aldo Moro, 30 40127 - Bologna (BO) +39 0515273711 dgcta@regione.emilia-romagna.it dgcta@postacert.regione.emilia-romagna.it
COMPLIANCE DECLARATION	
Name of the project:	[UPgrading Parma Rail-Road Terminal] — [UpARRT]
Location:	[ITALY], [EMILIA-ROMAGNA], [FOTEVIVO - PR]
We hereby declare that:	
A detailed assessment of the potential impact of the project on all potentially affected water bodies has been carried out and it results that <i>(please tick the boxes as appropriate)</i> :	
- the project will not lead to deterioration of the status of water bodies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
- where the project will lead to deterioration of the status of water bodies, all criteria set out in Article 4(7) of the Water Framework Directive are being complied with	<input type="checkbox"/>
Additional comments <i>(optional)</i>	
This intervention does not significantly affect the surface and underground water bodies of the hydrographic basin relating to the project area since it does not bring further source of pressure.	
SIGNATURE OF THE AUTHORISED PERSON	
Name and function:	[Paolo Ferrecchi], [General Director]
Date of signature:	10.01.2022
Signature and stamp:	 

HISTORY OF CHANGES		
VERSION	PUBLICATION DATE	CHANGE
1.0	01.09.2021	Initial version.